

6205 Cane Creek Drive  
Anniston, AL 36206

June 16, 2009

Public Defenders Office, St. Johns County  
4010 Lewis Speedway, Room 299  
St. Augustine, FL 32084

Enclosures: Requirement for Mental Evaluation, Case No. **08001111CF**, dated 06/15/09; Reply to Affidavit/Testimony, Case No. **P108-53**; dated 12/23/08, 12/27/08, 12/29/08, 12/31/08, 01/01/09, and 01/11/09

I am submitting the enclosed copies the latest mental evaluation and additional correspondence pertaining to the criminal case, for the record.

Mental Evaluation: this is third evaluation in five years; essentially, the same results both in the diagnosis or the assigned agency and intention/application of the courts.

Reply dated 12/23/08: A notebook computer sent to my son for graduation never reaches him intact; but has been crushed according to his testimony. As for my daughter's notebook, my arrest and the fate of the first one gave every reason not to send her the computer. *Good intentions never go unpunished...*

Reply dated 12/27/08: A restraining order (or injunction) is the tool of trade that enables the "victim" to violate it in principle – while the other is criminalized by default. I can this tactic the *bait and switch...*

Reply dated 12/29/08: My attempt to transfer monies and letters to my children was the forum for further conflict in testimony; but the one reassurance is that my daughter is telling the truth in that she might not recognize – as it's been so many years – and I did not approach her. Of course, the other testimony was markedly different...

Reply dated 12/31/08: Why would a parent destroy an expensive gift (on my income), then go to the trouble (and risk) of sending it to his son? Parents make sacrifices for their children, but they shouldn't maliciously destroy a gift...and then give it to their child.

Reply dated 01/01/09: A complete copy of the first evaluation was apparently not enough; then again, how many evaluations would have to occur before the "recurring theme" of an outstanding evaluation would be no more? On the last page of this enclosure, the narrative and claim:

“...his previous violent behavior (including documented child abuse)...”

There was one case of unsubstantiated child abuse processed by via interstate Georgia-Alabama; had child abuse been the conclusion, my unsupervised visitation plan would have been modified, suspended, etc. in the Fall of 2001 There are no other cases, no “mental or legal issues” and no outstanding mental evaluations; thus, the narrative is misleading and the claim is false. (Reference to the phrase “recurring theme”)

Reply dated 01/11/09: Finally, the injunction – which makes another claim that is false; the visitation was unsupervised without any requirement for or intervention of “licensed psychologist”. The narrative (or basis) of the injunction is proof-positive that perjury can be carried-out in court with impunity without opportunity for the defense to contest...